

Message

---

**From:** Housenger, Justin [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=F6C33AA2BE564C5EB416B98B5135B448-HOUSENGER, JUSTIN]  
**Sent:** 12/7/2021 10:15:52 PM  
**To:** Orrick, Greg [Orrick.Greg@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: neonic BE comments  
**Attachments:** Clothi registrant comments.pdf; Thia registrant pilot.pdf; Thia registrant comments 1.pdf

Good ideas, Greg.

I can check with Sujatha and Mark if they think with leave schedules an competing priorities if those dates would be feasible. Bayer comments already pulled and attached are clothi and thia comments from registrant. Syngenta seemed to go step further in a pilot for a net conservation benefit approach.

Justin

---

**From:** Orrick, Greg <Orrick.Greg@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 7, 2021 3:24 PM  
**To:** Housenger, Justin <Housenger.Justin@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: neonic BE comments

Hi Justin,

Thanks for setting the agenda and informing the team of expectations. My thoughts would be to recommend addressing comments as fully as possible by the end of January (or mid-Feb.) – just to give an arbitrary target. We can also share the registrant comments among the teams if Jan wants that done in December. Just a couple of thoughts.

Thanks again,  
Greg

GREGORY ORRICK | ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST | U.S. EPA, OFFICE OF PESTICIDE PROGRAMS | PHONE: 202.566.1635

---

**From:** Housenger, Justin <Housenger.Justin@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 7, 2021 2:33 PM  
**To:** Orrick, Greg <Orrick.Greg@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: neonic BE comments

Hi Greg,

Just sent the team a note. I can handle the background of getting everyone back together, indicating public comment period closed, Arctic slope work underway, and getting a head start on tackling substantive comments now before final BE work ramps to larger extent.

# Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Any other thoughts or suggestions?

Justin

---

**From:** Orrick, Greg <[Orrick.Greg@epa.gov](mailto:Orrick.Greg@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 7, 2021 1:48 PM  
**To:** Housenger, Justin <[Housenger.Justin@epa.gov](mailto:Housenger.Justin@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: neonic BE comments

Hi Justin,

Have you talked to the revised methods team to prep them on what to talk about? Or do we still need to? I don't have much of anything prepared to say. So, if the revised methods team members don't have prepared comments either, the meeting might not be productive.

Thanks,  
Greg

GREGORY ORRICK | ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST | U.S. EPA, OFFICE OF PESTICIDE PROGRAMS | PHONE: 202.566.1635

---

**From:** Housenger, Justin <[Housenger.Justin@epa.gov](mailto:Housenger.Justin@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 7, 2021 9:56 AM  
**To:** Orrick, Greg <[Orrick.Greg@epa.gov](mailto:Orrick.Greg@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: neonic BE comments

Yes, I see it now. Thanks Greg. I was initially looking for some kind of paper clip icon to the comments that actually had attachments to them.

---

**From:** Orrick, Greg <[Orrick.Greg@epa.gov](mailto:Orrick.Greg@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 7, 2021 9:36 AM  
**To:** Housenger, Justin <[Housenger.Justin@epa.gov](mailto:Housenger.Justin@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: neonic BE comments

When you click on a comment, you'll need to click the "Download" button to get a PDF of the comment. For example, the attached is what I downloaded from the Syngenta comment on page 7. Maybe I'm not understanding your question.

---

**From:** Housenger, Justin <[Housenger.Justin@epa.gov](mailto:Housenger.Justin@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 7, 2021 9:32 AM  
**To:** Orrick, Greg <[Orrick.Greg@epa.gov](mailto:Orrick.Greg@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: neonic BE comments

Maybe I'm in the wrong view, but was also looking for the actual formal submissions from some of these entities while these appear to just be comments posted directly to docket.

---

**From:** Orrick, Greg <[Orrick.Greg@epa.gov](mailto:Orrick.Greg@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 7, 2021 9:29 AM  
**To:** Housenger, Justin <[Housenger.Justin@epa.gov](mailto:Housenger.Justin@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: neonic BE comments

Hi Justin,

I forwarded the email in which Matt told me all the comments are in the same docket on regulations.gov:

<https://www.regulations.gov/docket/EPA-HQ-OPP-2021-0575/comments>

Is that what you need? The docket has 472 comment documents on 19 pages.

Thanks,  
Greg

GREGORY ORRICK | ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST | U.S. EPA, OFFICE OF PESTICIDE PROGRAMS | PHONE: 202.566.1635

---

**From:** Housenger, Justin <[Housenger.Justin@epa.gov](mailto:Housenger.Justin@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 7, 2021 8:54 AM  
**To:** Orrick, Greg <[Orrick.Greg@epa.gov](mailto:Orrick.Greg@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** neonics BE comments

Hi Greg,

Not sure if it is in our email boxes recently (all I could find were meeting invites and related comments related to BAQWA), but do we have record from PRD either sending or providing us links of all the submitted comments for each chemical BE?

I recall notes about the period closing and then the back and forth about contractor work that you have already worked to initiate, but wanted to check on the overall availability of the comments to us in support of the team meeting tomorrow.

Thanks,  
Justin